

PROTOCOL FOR TESTS ON DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY

Prunus armeniaca L.

APRICOT

UPOV Code: PRUNU_ARM

Adopted on 31/03/2023

Entry into force on 31/03/2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CPVO-TP/070/3

1.	SUBJ	ECT OF THE PROTOCOL AND REPORTING					
	1.1	Scope of the technical protocol					
	1.2	Entry into Force					
	1.3	Reporting between Examination Office and CPVO and Liaison with Applicant					
2.	MATE	ERIAL REQUIRED					
	2.1	Plant material requirements					
	2.2	Informing the applicant of plant material requirements4					
	2.3	Informing about problems on the submission of material4					
3.	METH	HOD OF EXAMINATION					
	3.1	Number of growing cycles4					
	3.2	Testing Place					
	3.3	Conditions for Conducting the Examination					
	3.4	Test design4					
	3.5	Special tests for additional characteristics4					
	3.6	Constitution and maintenance of a variety collection5					
4.	ASSE	SSMENT OF DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY					
	4.1	Distinctness					
	4.2	Uniformity					
	4.3	Stability					
5.	GRO	JPING OF VARIETIES AND ORGANISATION OF THE GROWING TRIAL					
6.	INTR	ODUCTION TO THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS					
	6.1	Characteristics to be used					
	6.2.	States of expression and corresponding notes					
	6.3	Example Varieties					
	6.4	Legend					
7.	TABL	E OF CHARACTERISTICS					
8.	EXPL	ANATIONS ON THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS					
	8.1	Explanations covering several characteristics					
	8.2	Explanations for individual characteristics					
	8.3	Synonyms of example varieties40					
9.	LITE	RATURE					
10	. TECH	INICAL QUESTIONNAIRE43					

1. SUBJECT OF THE PROTOCOL AND REPORTING

1.1 Scope of the technical protocol

This Technical Protocol applies to fruit varieties of *Prunus armeniaca* L. For the examination of rootstock varieties, the CPVO Technical Protocol for Prunus rootstocks should be applied.

The protocol describes the technical procedures to be followed in order to meet the requirements of Council Regulation 2100/94 on Community Plant Variety Rights. The technical procedures have been agreed by the Administrative Council and are based on documents agreed by the International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV), such as the General Introduction to DUS (UPOV Document TG/1/3 http://www.upov.int/export/sites/upov/resource/en/tg 1 3.pdf), its associated TGP documents (http://www.upov.int/tqp/en/) and the relevant UPOV Test Guideline TG/70/5 dated 26/10/2021 (https://www.upov.int/edocs/tgdocs/en/tg070.pdf) for the conduct of tests for Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability.

1.2 Entry into Force

The present protocol enters into force on **31/03/2023**. Any ongoing DUS examination of candidate varieties for which the first growing cycle for the purpose of observations has started (following the adequate period of establishment) before the aforesaid date will not be affected by the approval of the Technical Protocol. Technical examinations of candidate varieties are carried out according to the TP in force when the first growing cycle

Technical examinations of candidate varieties are carried out according to the TP in force when the first growing cycle for the purpose of observations following the adequate period of establishment starts.

In cases where the Office requests to take-over a DUS report for which the technical examination has either been finalized or which is in the process to be carried out at the moment of this request, such report can only be accepted if the technical examination has been carried out according to the CPVO TP which was in force at the moment when the first growing cycle for the purpose of observations following the adequate period of establishment started.

1.3 Reporting between Examination Office and CPVO and Liaison with Applicant

1.3.1 Reporting between Examination Office and CPVO

The Examination Office shall deliver to the CPVO a preliminary report ("the preliminary report") no later than two weeks after the date of the request for technical examination by the CPVO.

The Examination Office shall also deliver to the CPVO a report relating to each growing period ("the interim report") and, when the Examination Office considers the results of the technical examination to be adequate to evaluate the variety or the CPVO so requests, a report relating to the examination ("the final report").

The final report shall state the opinion of the Examination Office on the distinctness, uniformity and stability of the variety. Where it considers those criteria to be satisfied, or where the CPVO so requests, a description of the variety shall be added to the report.

If a report is negative the Examination Office shall set out the detailed reasons for its findings.

The interim and the final reports shall be delivered to the CPVO as soon as possible and no later than on the deadlines as laid down in the designation agreement.

1.3.2 Informing on problems in the DUS test

If problems arise during the course of the test the CPVO should be informed immediately so that the information can be passed on to the applicant. Subject to prior pertinent agreement, on matters of particular urgency, the applicant may be directly informed at the same time as the CPVO particularly if a visit to the trial is advisable.

1.3.3 Sample keeping in case of problems

If the technical examination has resulted in a negative report, the CPVO shall inform the Examination Office as soon as possible in case that a representative sample of any relevant testing material shall be kept.

2. MATERIAL REQUIRED

2.1 Plant material requirements

Information with respect to the agreed closing dates and submission requirements of plant material for the technical examination of varieties can be found on https://public.plantvarieties.eu/publication in the special issue S2/S3 of the Official Gazette of the Office. General requirements on submission of samples are also to be found following the same link.

2.2 Informing the applicant of plant material requirements

The CPVO informs the applicant that

- he is responsible for ensuring compliance with any customs and plant health requirements.
- the plant material supplied should be visibly healthy, not lacking in vigour, nor affected by any important pest or disease.
- the plant material should not have undergone any treatment which would affect the expression of the characteristics
 of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If it has been treated, full details
 of the treatment must be given.

2.3 Informing about problems on the submission of material

The Examination Office shall report to the CPVO immediately in cases where the test material of the candidate variety has not arrived in time or in cases where the material submitted does not fulfil the conditions laid down in the request for material issued by the CPVO.

In cases where the examination office encounters difficulties to obtain plant material of reference varieties the CPVO should be informed.

3. METHOD OF EXAMINATION

3.1 Number of growing cycles

The duration of tests should be two independent growing cycles for the purpose of observation of characteristics following an adequate number of growing cycles for establishment of plants; at the end of each growing cycle for the purpose of observation of characteristics the competent authority will determine whether or not the following growing cycle is required.

In particular, it is essential that the trees produce a satisfactory crop of fruit in each of the two growing cycles.

The two independent growing cycles may be observed from a single planting, examined in two separate growing cycles.

3.1.2 The growing cycle is considered to be the duration of a single growing season, beginning with bud burst (flowering and/or vegetative), flowering and fruit harvest and concluding when the following dormant period ends with the swelling of new season buds.

3.2 Testing Place

Tests are normally conducted at one place. In the case of tests conducted at more than one place, guidance is provided in TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness" <u>http://www.upov.int/edocs/tgpdocs/en/tgp_9.pdf.</u>

3.3 Conditions for Conducting the Examination

The tests should be carried out under conditions ensuring satisfactory growth for the expression of the relevant characteristics of the variety and for the conduct of the examination.

3.4 Test design

- 3.4.1 <u>Varieties resulting from crossing</u>: Each test should be designed to result in a total of at least 3 trees. <u>Varieties resulting from mutation</u>: Each test should be designed to result in a total of at least 9 trees.
- 3.4.2 The design of the tests should be such that plants or parts of plants may be removed for measurement or counting without prejudice to the observations which must be made up to the end of the growing cycle.

3.5 Special tests for additional characteristics

In accordance with Article 23 of Implementing Rules N° 874/2009 an applicant may claim either in the Technical Questionnaire or during the test that a candidate has a characteristic which would be helpful in establishing distinctness. If such a claim is made and is supported by reliable technical data, a special test may be undertaken providing that a technically acceptable test procedure can be devised.

Special tests will be undertaken, with the agreement of the President of CPVO, where distinctness is unlikely to be shown using the characteristics listed in the protocol.

3.6 Constitution and maintenance of a variety collection

The process for the constitution and the maintenance of a variety collection can be summarized as follows:

Step 1: Making an inventory of the varieties of common knowledge

Step 2: Establishing a collection ("variety collection") of varieties of common knowledge which are relevant for the examination of distinctness of candidate varieties

Step 3: Selecting the varieties from the variety collection which need to be included in the growing trial or other tests for the examination of distinctness of a particular candidate variety.

3.6.1 Forms of variety collection

The variety collection shall comprise variety descriptions and living plant material, thus a living reference collection. The variety description shall be produced by the EO unless special cooperation exists between EOs and the CPVO. The descriptive and pictorial information produced by the EO shall be held and maintained in a form of a database.

3.6.2 Living Plant Material

The EO shall collect and maintain living plant material of varieties of the species concerned in the variety collection.

3.6.3 Range of the variety collection

The living variety collection shall cover at least those varieties that are suitable to climatic conditions of a respective EO.

3.6.4 Making an inventory of varieties of common knowledge for inclusion in the variety collection

The inventory shall include varieties protected under National and Community PBR, varieties of National Catalogues (where such catalogues exist) and varieties in trade or in commercial registers.

In addition to the above, the inventory shall be extended to the appropriate to

- any commercial document in which varieties are marketed as propagating or harvested material, especially when there is no official registration system;
- any list including varieties which are publicly available within plant collections (varieties included in genetic resource collections, collection of old varieties, etc.);
- information provided by relevant plant experts;
- relevant example varieties referred to in the technical protocols.

3.6.5 Maintenance and renewal/update of a living variety collection

The EO shall maintain the variety collection under appropriate growing conditions (e.g. glasshouse, orchard, in vitro), where it shall be ensured that the plants are adequately irrigated, fertilised, pruned and protected from harmful pests and diseases. For the renewal of existing living material the identity of replacement living plant material shall be verified by conducting side-by-side plot comparisons between the material in the collection and the new material or by checking the identity of the new material against the variety description.

4. ASSESSMENT OF DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY

The prescribed procedure is to assess distinctness, uniformity and stability in a growing trial.

4.1 Distinctness

4.1.1 General recommendations

It is of particular importance for users of this Technical Protocol to consult the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS (link in chapter 1 of this document) and TGP 9 'Examining Distinctness' (<u>http://www.upov.int/edocs/tgpdocs/en/tgp 9.pdf</u>) prior to making decisions regarding distinctness. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in this Technical Protocol.

Further guidance is provided in documents TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness" and TGP/8 "Trial Design and Techniques Used in the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability".

4.1.2 Consistent differences

The differences observed between varieties may be so clear that more than one growing cycle is not necessary. In addition, in some circumstances, the influence of the environment is not such that more than a single growing cycle is required to

provide assurance that the differences observed between varieties are sufficiently consistent. One means of ensuring that a difference in a characteristic, observed in a growing trial, is sufficiently consistent is to examine the characteristic in at least two independent growing cycles.

4.1.3 Clear differences

Determining whether a difference between two varieties is clear depends on many factors, and should consider, in particular, the type of expression of the characteristic being examined, i.e. whether it is expressed in a qualitative, quantitative, or pseudo-qualitative manner. Therefore, it is important that users of these Technical Protocols are familiar with the recommendations contained in the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS prior to making decisions regarding distinctness.

4.1.4 Number of plants/parts of plants to be examined

In case of <u>varieties resulting from crossing</u>, unless otherwise indicated, for the purposes of distinctness, all observations on single plants should be made on 3 plants or parts taken from each of 3 plants and any other observations made on all plants in the test, disregarding any off-type plants.

In the case of observations of parts taken from single plants, the number of parts to be taken from each of the plants should be 5.

In case of <u>varieties resulting from mutation</u>, unless otherwise indicated, for the purposes of distinctness, all observations on single plants should be made on 8 plants or parts taken from each of 8 plants and any other observations made on all plants in the test, disregarding any off-type plants.

In the case of observations of parts taken from single plants, the number of parts to be taken from each of the plants should be 2.

4.1.5 Method of observation

The recommended method of observing the characteristic for the purposes of distinctness is indicated by the following key in the third column of the Table of Characteristics (see document TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness", Section 4 "Observation of characteristics"):

- MG: single measurement of a group of plants or parts of plants
- MS: measurement of a number of individual plants or parts of plants
- VG: visual assessment by a single observation of a group of plants or parts of plants
- VS: visual assessment by observation of individual plants or parts of plants

Type of observation: visual (V) or measurement (M)

"Visual" observation (V) is an observation made on the basis of the expert's judgment. For the purposes of this document, "visual" observation refers to the sensory observations of the experts and, therefore, also includes smell, taste and touch. Visual observation includes observations where the expert uses reference points (e.g. diagrams, example varieties, sideby-side comparison) or non-linear charts (e.g. colour charts). Measurement (M) is an objective observation against a calibrated, linear scale e.g. using a ruler, weighing scales, colorimeter, dates, counts, etc.

Type of record: for a group of plants (G) or for single, individual plants (S)

For the purposes of distinctness, observations may be recorded as a single record for a group of plants or parts of plants (G), or may be recorded as records for a number of single, individual plants or parts of plants (S). In most cases, "G" provides a single record per variety and it is not possible or necessary to apply statistical methods in a plant-by-plant analysis for the assessment of distinctness.

In cases where more than one method of observing the characteristic is indicated in the Table of Characteristics (e.g. VG/MG), guidance on selecting an appropriate method is provided in document TGP/9, Section 4.2.

4.2 Uniformity

- 4.2.1 It is of particular importance for users of this Technical Protocol to consult the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS (link in chapter 1 of this document) and TGP 10 'Examining Uniformity' (<u>http://www.upov.int/edocs/tgpdocs/en/tgp 10.pdf</u>) prior to making decisions regarding uniformity. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in this Technical Protocol:
- 4.2.2 This Technical Protocol has been developed for the examination of vegetatively propagated varieties. For varieties with other types of propagation the recommendations in the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS and document TGP/13 "Guidance for new types and species", Section 4.5 "Testing Uniformity" should be followed.

For the assessment of uniformity in a sample of 3 plants, a population standard of 1% and an acceptance probability

of at least 95% should be applied. In the case of a sample size of 3 plants, no off-types are allowed. For the assessment of uniformity in a sample of 9 plants, a population standard of 1 % and an acceptance probability of at least 95 % should be applied. In the case of a sample size of 9 plants, 1 off-type is allowed.

4.3 Stability

4.3.1 It is of particular importance for users of this Technical Protocol to consult the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS (link in chapter 1 of this document) and TGP 11 'Examining Stability' (<u>http://www.upov.int/edocs/tgpdocs/en/tgp 11.pd</u>)

In practice, it is not usual to perform tests of stability that produce results as certain as those of the testing of distinctness and uniformity. However, experience has demonstrated that, for many types of variety, when a variety has been shown to be uniform, it can also be considered to be stable.

4.3.2 Where appropriate, or in cases of doubt, stability may be further examined by testing a new plant stock to ensure that it exhibits the same characteristics as those shown by the initial material supplied.

5. GROUPING OF VARIETIES AND ORGANISATION OF THE GROWING TRIAL

- **5.1** The selection of varieties of common knowledge to be grown in the trial with the candidate varieties and the way in which these varieties are divided into groups to facilitate the assessment of distinctness are aided by the use of grouping characteristics.
- **5.2** Grouping characteristics are those in which the documented states of expression, even where produced at different locations, can be used, either individually or in combination with other such characteristics: (a) to select varieties of common knowledge that can be excluded from the growing trial used for examination of distinctness; and (b) to organise the growing trial so that similar varieties are grouped together.
- **5.3** The following have been agreed as useful grouping characteristics:
 - a) Petal: colour (characteristic 27)
 - b) Fruit: weight (characteristic 29)
 - c) Fruit: shape in lateral view (characteristic 30)
 - d) Fruit: ground colour of skin (characteristic 46)
 - e) Fruit: relative area of over colour (characteristic 49)
 - f) Fruit: colour of flesh (characteristic 51)
 - g) Time of beginning of flowering (characteristic 58)
 - h) Time of beginning of fruit ripening (characteristic 59)
- **5.4** If other characteristics than those from the Technical Protocol are used for the selection of varieties to be included into the growing trial, the EO shall inform the CPVO and seek the prior consent of the CPVO before using these characteristics.
- **5.5** Guidance for the use of grouping characteristics, in the process of examining distinctness, is provided through the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS and document TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness".

6. INTRODUCTION TO THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS

6.1 Characteristics to be used

The characteristics to be used in DUS tests and preparation of descriptions shall be those referred to in the table of characteristics. All the characteristics shall be used, providing that observation of a characteristic is not rendered impossible by the expression of any other characteristic, or the expression of a characteristic is prevented by the environmental conditions under which the test is conducted or by specific legislation on plant health. In the latter case, the CPVO should be informed.

The Administrative Council empowers the President, in accordance with Article 23 of Commission Regulation N°874/2009, to insert additional characteristics and their expressions in respect of a variety.

6.2. States of expression and corresponding notes

States of expression are given for each characteristic to define the characteristic and to harmonize descriptions. Each state of expression is allocated a corresponding numerical note for ease of recording of data and for the production and exchange of the description. All relevant states of expression are presented in the characteristic.

Further explanation of the presentation of states of expression and notes is provided in UPOV document TGP/7 "Development of Test Guidelines".

6.3 Example Varieties

Where appropriate, example varieties are provided to clarify the states of expression of each characteristic.

6.4 Legend

For column 'CPVO No':

G	Grouping characteristic	-see Chapter 5
QL	Qualitative characteristic	
QN	Quantitative characteristic	
PQ	Pseudo-qualitative characteristic	
(+)	Explanations for individual characteristics	-see Chapter 8.2

For column 'UPOV Nº':

The numbering of the characteristics is provided as a reference to the UPOV guideline.

(*)	UPOV Asterisked characteristic	- Characteristics that are important for the international harmonization of variety descriptions.

For column 'Stage, method':MG, MS, VG, VS(a)-(d)Explanations covering several Characteristics

-see Chapter 4.1.5 -see Chapter 8.1

7. TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
1. (+)	1. (*)	VG	Tree: vigour		
QN		(a)	very weak	Sub-zero	1
			weak	Ninfa, Rustic	2
			medium	Bergeron, Canino, Peeka, Rouge du Roussillon	3
			strong	Earle Orange, Magyar kajszi, Palsteyn, Pisana, Portici	4
			very strong	Monaco Bello, Moniquí, Solitaire, Viceroy	5
2. (+)	2. (*)	VG	Tree: habit		
PQ		(a)	fastigiate	Japan's Early	1
			upright	Harcot, Primando, Reale d'Imola	2
			upright to spreading	Ceglédi óriás, Paz, Proimo Tyrinthos, Veecot	3
			spreading	Blenheim, Canino, Grandir, Hargrand, Magyar kajszi	4
			drooping	Palsteyn, Pisana, Vesna	5
3. (+)	3.	VG	Tree: number of branches		
QN		(a)	few	Earle Orange, Roxana	1
			few to medium	Ceglédi zamatos	2
			medium	Bergeron, Magyar kajszi, San Castrese	3
			medium to many	Ceglédi napsugár	4
			many	Harlayne, Prevete, Roxy, Veecot	5

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
4.	4. (*)	VG	Tree: distribution of flower buds		
QN		(a)	predominantly on spurs	Earle Orange, Nugget, Roxy, Royal Roussillon, Sun Glo	1
			equally on spurs and on one-year- old shoots	Bergeron, Bulida, Canino, San Castrese, Veecot	2
			predominantly on one-year-old shoots	Amal, Ouardi, Roxana	3
5. (+)	5.	VG	One-year-old shoot: colour on sunny side		
PQ		(a)	yellow brown	Cape Bebeco, Grandir	1
			red brown	Palsteyn, Royal, Veecot	2
			purple brown	Blenheim, Harcot	3
6. (+)	6.	VG	One-year-old shoot: size of bud support		
QN		(a)	small	Canino, Cape Bebeco, Harcot, Vitillo	1
			medium	Hargrand, Magyar kajszi, Palsteyn, Portici, Tri Gems	2
			large	Ceglédi arany, Himidi, Suapriseven	3
7. (+)	7. (*)	VG	Young shoot: intensity of anthocyanin coloration of apex		
QN			very weak	Anderheart	1
			very weak to weak	Cristal	2
			weak	Blenheim, Hargrand, Paz, Perla	3
			weak to medium	Ceglédi szilárd, Mambo	4
			medium	Cape Bebeco, Polonais, San Castrese, Sun Glo	5
			medium to strong	Ceglédi gömbölyű, Samouraï	6
			strong	Ceglédi bíbor, Harcot, Ladisun, Ohaicos, Ravival	7
			strong to very strong		8
			very strong	Rojo Passion	9

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
8.	8.	MG/MS /VG	Leaf blade: length		
QN		(b)	very short		1
			very short to short		2
			short	Bulida, Early Biady, Perla, Samarkandskij rannij	3
			short to medium	Ceglédi bájos	4
			medium	Canino, Portici, Rouge du Roussillon, Veecot	5
			medium to long	Clarina, Lunafull	6
			long	Ceglédi arany, Moniquí, Roxana	7
			long to very long	César, Koolgat	8
			very long		9
9.	9.	MG/MS /VG	Leaf blade: width		
QN		(b)	very narrow	Hurgat	1
			very narrow to narrow	Koolgat	2
			narrow	Ceglédi bíbor, Monaco Bello, Rouget de Sernhac, Veecot	3
			narrow to medium	Ceglédi napsugár, Nyujtó Ferenc emléke	4
			medium	Canino, Cape Bebeco, Harcot, Vitillo	5
			medium to broad	Hargrand, Magyar kajszi	6
			broad	Ceglédi Piroska, Moniquí, Pisana	7
			broad to very broad	Candela	8
			very broad	Nadejda	9

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
10.	10. (*)	MG/VG	Leaf blade: ratio length/width		
QN		(b)	very low	Canino, Portici	1
			very low to low	Ceglédi bájos	2
			low	Cafona, Hargrand, Supergold	3
			low to medium	Ceglédi szilárd	4
			medium	Harcot, Rouget de Sernhac, Rustic, San Castrese	5
			medium to high	Ceglédi napsugár	6
			high	Big Cot, Ceglédi bíbor, Colorado	7
			high to very high	Titicot	8
			very high	Koolgat, Noemi, Super Seven	9
11.	11.	VG	Leaf blade: intensity of green colour of upper side		
QN		(b)	light	Roxy, San Castrese, Veecot, Velasquez	1
			light to medium	Ceglédi kedves	2
			medium	Canino, Ceglédi óriás, Flaming Gold, Grandir, Harcot	3
			medium to dark	Roxana	4
			dark	Earle Orange, Ninja	5
12. (+)	12.	VG	Leaf blade: shape of base		
PQ		(b)	acute	Ceglédi bíbor, Rouget de Sernhac, San Francesco	1
			obtuse	Bhart, Magyar kajszi, Portici	2
			truncate	Bergeron, Blenheim, Canino, Perla	3
			cordate	Bulida, Monabri, Moniquí	4

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
13. (+)	13.	VG	Leaf blade: angle of apex		
PQ		(b)	acute	Koolgat, San Castrese	1
			right-angled	Bulida, Canino, Ceglédi óriás	2
			moderately obtuse	Bergeron, Farclo, Portici	3
			strongly obtuse	Hargrand, Moniquí	4
14. (+)	14.	VG	Leaf blade: length of tip		
QN		(b)	absent or very short	Alpha	1
			very short to short	Vemina	2
			short	Amber Gold, Bhart, Harmat, Moniquí	3
			short to medium	Rambo	4
			medium	Koolgat, Magyar kajszi, Roxy	5
			medium to long	Nyujtó Ferenc emléke, Oscar	6
			long	Fina, Memphis, Roxana	7
			long to very long	Playa Cot	8
			very long		9
15. (+)	15. (*)	VG	Leaf blade: incisions of margin		
PQ		(b)	crenate	Canino, Royal Roussillon, San Castrese, Verdun	1
			bicrenate	Bhart, Ninfa	2
			serrate	Vitillo	3
			biserrate	Farius, Himidi, Roxana, Suapriseven	4
16.	16.	VG	Leaf blade: undulation of margin		
QN		(b)	absent or very weak	Colomer, Earle Orange	1
			weak	Harcot, Palsteyn, Portici	2
			medium	Blenheim, Cape Bebeco, Roxana	3
			strong	Piet Cillié, San Francesco	4
			very strong	Polonais	5

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
17. (+)	17.	VG	Leaf blade: profile in cross section		
QN		(b)	slightly convex	Megatea	1
			flat or weakly concave	Earle Orange, Rouget de Sernhac, San Castrese	2
			moderately concave	Bergeron, Dulcinea, Moniquí, Rustic	3
			strongly concave	Polonais	4
18.	18. (*)	MG/MS /VG	Petiole: length		
QN		(b)	very short	Csic Cebas Mirloblanco	1
			very short to short	Cyrano, Pricia	2
			short	Cape Bebeco, Madison, Ninfa, Veecot	3
			short to medium	Ceglédi bájos, Ceglédi gömbölyű, Koolgat	4
			medium	Bergeron, Bulida, Cafona, Canino, Hargrand	5
			medium to long	Ceglédi napsugár, Nyujtó Ferenc emléke, Samouraï, Totem	6
			long	Banzaï, Ladisun, Reale d'Imola	7
			long to very long		8
			very long	HG nº1	9

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
19. (+)	19. (*)	MG/MS /VG	Leaf: ratio length of blade/length of petiole		
QN		(b)	very low		1
			very low to low		2
			low	Earle Orange, Harcot, Pisana, Rouget de Sernhac	3
			low to medium	Apache, Banzaï	4
			medium	Bergeron, Portici, Rouge du Roussillon	5
			medium to high	Koolgat	6
			high	Monaco Bello, Moniquí	7
			high to very high		8
			very high		9
20.	20.	VG	Petiole: thickness		
QN		(b)	thin	Flaming Gold, San Castrese, Veecot	1
			medium	Bulida, Harcot, Portici	2
			thick	Ceglédi arany, Moniquí, Reale d'Imola	3
21.	21.	VG	Petiole: intensity of anthocyanin coloration of upper side		
QN		(b)	absent or very weak		1
			very weak to weak		2
			weak	Tri Gems	3
			weak to medium	Sublime	4
			medium	Bhart, Canino, Cape Bebeco, San Castrese	5
			medium to strong	Ninja, Oscar	6
			strong	Ceglédi bíbor, Early Biady, Grandir, Harogem	7
			strong to very strong	Cheyenne	8
			very strong		9

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
22. (+)	22. (*)	MG/VG	Petiole: number of nectaries		
QN		(b)	none or one	Colorado, Mandulakajszi, Rouget de Sernhac	1
			two or three	Banzaï, Magyar kajszi, Ninja, Primarina, Veecot	2
			more than three	Bulida, Canino, Cape Bebeco, Moniquí, Pisana	3
23.	23.	VG	Petiole: size of nectaries		
QN		(b)	small	Alpha, Colorado, Madison, San Francesco, Yerevani	1
			medium	Ceglédi óriás, Samouraï, San Castrese, Tilton	2
			large	Canino, Early Biady, Harmat, Red Blush	3
24.	24. (*)	MS/VG	Flower: diameter		
QN		(c)	small	Borsi rózsa, Supergold	1
			small to medium	Somo	2
			medium	Magyar kajszi, Polonais, Portici, Reale d'Imola	3
			medium to large	Ceglédi arany	4
			large	Hargrand, Harmat, San Castrese	5
25. (+)	25.	VG	Flower: position of stigma relative to anthers		
QN		(c)	below	Harmat, Rouge du Roussillon	1
			same level	Hargrand, Palsteyn, Portici	2
			above	Canino, Grandir, Pisana, Polonais	3
26. (+)	26.	VG	Petal: shape		
PQ		(c)	elliptic	Rubilis	1
			circular	Faralia, Harcot, Luizet	2
			oblate	Canino, Polonais, Rustic, Vitillo	3

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
27. (+)	27. (*)	VG	Petal: colour		
PQ			white	Bulida, Cafona, Polonais	1
			pinkish white	Magyar kajszi, San Castrese	2
			light pink	Harcot	3
G			dark pink	Cheyenne, Ninja	4
28. (+)	28.	VG	Sepal: attitude		
QN			upwards	Ladisun	1
			outwards	Colomer, Farbaly	2
			downwards	Bergeron, Cape Bebeco	3
29.	29. (*)	MG/VG	Fruit: weight		
QN			very low	Haggith, Menace, Supergold, Zard	1
			very low to low		2
			low	Borsi rózsa, Ladisun	3
			low to medium	Val orange	4
			medium	Cafona, Canino, Harcot, Paz	5
			medium to high	Iziagat, Oscar	6
			high	Ceglédi bíbor, Moniquí, Portici	7
			high to very high	Swilate	8
G			very high	Ceglédi óriás, Flamengo, Hargrand, Palsteyn, Pisana	9

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
30. (+)	30. (*)	VG	Fruit: shape in lateral view		
PQ		(d)	triangular	Gilgat, Luizet	1
			ovate	Bergeron, Pisana	2
			oblate	Korai zamatos, Nugget	3
			circular	Earle Orange, Grandir, Ninfa, Ouardi, Polonais	4
			oblong	Blenheim, Portici, Sundrop	5
			elliptic	Précoce d'Imola, Wenatchee, Yerevani	6
			oblique rhombic	Banga, Bulida, Canino, Vulcan	7
G			obovate	Harcot, Harmat, Trevatt	8
31. (+)	31. (*)	VG	Fruit: shape in ventral view		
PQ		(d)	triangular	Gilgat, Luizet, Mandulakajszi, Reale d'Imola	1
			ovate	Bergeron, Canino, Fracasso	2
			oblate	Nugget	3
			circular	Polonais, Rouge du Roussillon, San Castrese	4
			oblong	Baracca, Hargrand, Veecot	5
			elliptic	Bella d'Imola, Flaming Gold, Yerevani	6
			obovate	Harcot, Harmat, Ladisun, Portici	7

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
32.	32.	MG/VG	Fruit: height		
QN		(d)	very short		1
			very short to short		2
			short	Samarkandskij rannij, Sayeb, Supergold	3
			short to medium	Val orange	4
			medium	Bergeron, Canino, Cape Bebeco	5
			medium to tall	Ceglédi kedves, Ceglédi napsugár, Cheyenne, Iziagat	6
			tall	Goldrich, Mandulakajszi, Vitillo	7
			tall to very tall	Flamengo	8
			very tall		9
33.	33.	MG/VG	Fruit: width in lateral view		
QN		(d)	very narrow		1
			very narrow to narrow		2
			narrow	Cerasiello, Harmat, Manicot, Samarkandskij rannij, Supergold	3
			narrow to medium	Aprireve	4
			medium	Bergeron, Bhart, Cafona, Paz	5
			medium to broad	Ceglédi kedves, Ceglédi szilárd, Swilate	6
			broad	Hargrand, Moniquí, Sherpa, Vitillo	7
			broad to very broad		8
			very broad		9

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
34.	34.	MG/VG	Fruit: width in ventral view		
QN		(d)	very narrow		1
			very narrow to narrow		2
			narrow	Cerasiello, Harlayne, Tri Gems	3
			narrow to medium	Ceglédi zamatos, Swired	4
			medium	Bhart, Cape Bebeco, Palummella	5
			medium to broad	Ceglédi gömbölyű, Swilate	6
			broad	Ceglédi arany, Flamengo, Goldrich, Moniquí	7
			broad to very broad		8
			very broad		9
35.	35.	MG/VG	Fruit: ratio height/ventral width		
QN		(d)	very low	Larclyd	1
			very low to low	Ceglédi arany	2
			low	Korai zamatos, Peeka	3
			low to medium	Ceglédi bájos	4
			medium	Cafona, Canino, Magyar kajszi, Rouge du Roussillon, Solitaire	5
			medium to high	Ceglédi napsugár, Cheyenne, Monaco Bello	6
			high	Bergeron, Tri Gems, Vitillo	7
			high to very high	Elgat, Lido	8
			very high	Farbella	9

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
36.	36. (*)	MG/VG	Fruit: ratio lateral width/ventral width		
QN		(d)	very low	Monaco Bello	1
			very low to low	Lemeda	2
			low	Mandorlon, Maria Ferez, Rustic, Vesna	3
			low to medium	Ceglédi napsugár, Nyujtó Ferenc emléke	4
			medium	Bergeron, Luizet, Pisana, Rouge du Roussillon	5
			medium to high	Aprireve, Ceglédi zamatos	6
			high	Borsi rózsa, Calicot, IPS 660, Swired	7
			high to very high	Titicot, Tudor	8
			very high		9
37. (+)	37. (*)	VG	Fruit: symmetry in ventral view		
QN		(d)	symmetric	Canino, Magyar kajszi, Paz, Portici	1
			slightly asymmetric	Ceglédi óriás, Meligat, Royal	2
			strongly asymmetric	Borsi rózsa, Grandir, Milord, Reale d'Imola	3
38. (+)	38. (*)	VG	Fruit: suture		
PQ		(d)	raised	Priboto	1
			slightly sunken	Magyar kajszi, Ninfa, Rouge du Roussillon	2
			moderately sunken	Bergeron, Ladisun, Monaco Bello, Pineapple	3
			strongly sunken	Cape Bebeco, Dima, Kech-pshar, Portici	4

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
39.	39.	VG	Fruit: depth of stalk cavity		
QN		(d)	shallow	Harlayne, Peeka, Rouge du Roussillon, San Castrese	1
			shallow to medium		2
			medium	Blenheim, Grandir, Magyar kajszi, Vitillo	3
			medium to deep	Roxana	4
			deep	Banzaï, Canino, Ceglédi óriás, Palsteyn	5
40. (+)	40. (*)	VG	Fruit: shape of apex in lateral view		
PQ		(d)	acute	Hula Blush, Mandulakajszi, Reale d'Imola	1
			rounded	Bergeron, Goldrich, Portici	2
			truncate	Bella d'Imola, Hargrand, Royal	3
41. (+)	41.	VG	Fruit: shape of pistil end in ventral view		
PQ		(d)	pointed	Mediabel	1
			flat	Farbaly	2
			weakly depressed	Suapriseven	3
			strongly depressed	Primaya	4
42. (+)	42. (*)	VG	Fruit: presence of mucron		
QL		(d)	absent	Blenheim, Bulida, Canino, San Castrese	1
			present	Bhart, Pisana	9
43.	43.	VG	Fruit: surface		
PQ		(d)	smooth	Bergeron, Ninja, Palsteyn, Portici, Rouge du Roussillon	1
			slightly bumpy	Cape Bebeco, Oscar, Supergold	2
			moderately bumpy	Canino, Ceglédi óriás, Faralia, Nonno, Sherpa	3
			very bumpy	Lotte	4

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
44.	44. (*)	VG	Fruit: pubescence		
QL		(d)	absent	Badami, Glattschalige Frühmarille	1
			present	Bergeron, Bulida, Canino, Magyar kajszi	9
45.	45.	VG	Fruit: glossiness		
QN		(d)	absent or weak	Rouge du Roussillon	1
			medium	Harcot, Oscar	2
			strong	Lotte, Maravilla, Sun Glo	3
46. (+)	46. (*)	VG	Fruit: ground colour of skin		
PQ		(d)	not visible	Ravicille, Ravilong	1
			white	San Nicola, Shirazskij belyj	2
			yellowish	Piet Cillié, Soldonné, Vitillo, Yerevani	3
			yellowish green	Grüne Spätmarille, Kaisi Ashtarak, Roxy, Sateni Karmir	4
			light orange	Canino, Goldcot, Hargrand, Rouge du Roussillon	5
			medium orange	Luizet, Pisana, Veecot	6
G			dark orange	Bhart, Harcot, Harogem	7
47.	47. (*)	VG	Fruit: hue of over colour		
PQ		(d)	orange red	Cape Bebeco	1
			red	Bhart, Faralia	2
			pink	Colorado, Palsteyn, Rustic	3
			purple	Rubissia, Totem	4

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
48.	48.	VG	Fruit: intensity of over colour		
QN		(d)	very light		1
			very light to light		2
			light	Big Cot, Ceglédi napsugár	3
			light to medium	IPS 16121	4
			medium	Swilate	5
			medium to dark		6
			dark	Flash Cot, Primarina	7
			dark to very dark	Rubely	8
			very dark	Apridelice	9
49. (+)	49. (*)	VG	Fruit: relative area of over colour		
QN		(d)	absent or very small	Ceglédi gömbölyű, Charisma, Maria Matilde, Moniquí	1
			very small to small	Bayoto	2
			small	Cafona, Canino, Cape Bebeco, Goldrich	3
			small to medium	Ceglédi kedves	4
			medium	Magyar kajszi, Palsteyn, Portici, Roxy	5
			medium to large	Ceglédi szilárd	6
			large	Bergeron, Bhart, Golden Blush, Pisana	7
			large to very large	Cheyenne	8
G			very large	Ravicille, Ravilong	9
50. (+)	50. (*)	VG	Fruit: pattern of over colour		
PQ		(d)	isolated spots	Big Cot, Margotina, Rouge du Roussillon	1
			solid flush	Bergeron, Cape Bebeco, Ninja	2
			very small spots throughout	Grandir, Moniquí, Pieve	3

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
51.	51. (*)	VG	Fruit: colour of flesh		
PQ		(d)	white	Mouchbah Mourry, Spitak	1
			yellowish white	Barese, Malatya, Moniquí	2
			whitish green	Amban	3
			light orange	Canino, Cape Bebeco, Harmat, San Castrese	4
			medium orange	Grandir, Harglow, Pisana, Rouge du Roussillon, Screara	5
			dark orange	Bhart, Francese, Harcot, Palsteyn	6
G			red		7
52.	52.	VG	Fruit: texture of flesh		
QN		(d)	fine	Fracasso, Harlayne, Koolgat, Peeka	1
			medium	Canino, Cape Bebeco, Magyar kajszi, Piet Cillié	2
			coarse	Bergeron, Précoce d'Imola	3
53. (+)	53.	MG/MS /VG	Fruit: firmness of flesh		
QN		(d)	very soft	Harmat, Viceroy	1
			very soft to soft	Samarkandskij rannij	2
			soft	Goldcot, Grandir	3
			soft to medium	MK 132	4
			medium	Cape Bebeco, Magyar kajszi, Piet Cillié, Rouge du Roussillon	5
			medium to firm	Lunafull	6
			firm	Bella d'Imola, Bergeron, Palsteyn, Suapriseven	7
			firm to very firm	Congat, Fardao	8
			very firm	Farclo, Priboto	9

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
54.	54.	MG	Fruit: ratio weight of fruit/weight of stone		
QN		(d)	very low		1
			very low to low		2
			low	Borsi rózsa, Reale d'Imola	3
			low to medium	Ceglédi zamatos	4
			medium	Blenheim, Portici, Primaya	5
			medium to high	Ceglédi napsugár	6
			high	Badami, Bergeron, Hula Blush, San Castrese	7
			high to very high	Hollycot	8
			very high	Flamengo	9
55.	55. (*)	VG	Fruit: adherence of stone to flesh		
QN		(d)	absent to very weak	Bergeron, Bhart, Ninfa, Peeka	1
			very weak to weak	Canino, Paz, Rouge du Roussillon, Sirena	2
			medium	Ceglédi arany, Tardif de Bordaneil	3
			medium to strong	Ceglédi napsugár	4
			strong	Cafona, Comandor, Flamengo	5
56. (+)	56. (*)	VG	Stone: shape in lateral view		
PQ		(d)	ovate	Goldcot, Grandir, Magyar kajszi, Portici	1
			circular	Canino, Eten Bey, Hargrand, Suaprieight	2
			elliptic	Bergeron, Vitillo	3
			oblong	Bella d'Imola, Palsteyn, Rouge du Roussillon	4
			obovate	Harcot, Harmat	5

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
57. (+)	57.	VG	Kernel: bitterness		
QN		(d)	absent or weak	Bergeron, Harcot, Magyar kajszi, Reale d'Imola	1
			medium	Bella d'Imola, Harlayne, Ninja, Palsteyn, Suaprieight, Swired	2
			strong	Borsi rózsa, Canino, Colorado, Manicot, Memphis, Samouraï, Supergold	3
58. (+)	58. (*)	MG/VG	Time of beginning of flowering		
QN			very early	Bakour, Colorado, Currots, Harmat, Ninfa, Solitaire	1
			very early to early	Rambo	2
			early	Canino, Harcot, San Castrese	3
			early to medium	Ceglédi szilárd, Goldrich	4
			medium	Bhart, Magyar kajszi, Moniquí, Portici, San Francesco, Supergold	5
			medium to late	Ceglédi zamatos, Digat	6
			late	Bergeron, Farius, Harlayne, Ladisun, Polonais	7
			late to very late	Hurgat	8
G			very late	Badami, Harglow, Stella, Zard	9

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
59. (+)	59. (*)	MG/VG	Time of beginning of fruit ripening		
QN			very early	Bakour, Ninfa, Rutbhart	1
			very early to early	Monabri, Tsunami	2
			early	Bhart, Ladisun, Rouget de Sernhac, Tomcot	3
			early to medium	Goldrich, Hargrand, Magyar kajszi	4
			medium	Amber Gold, Bergeron, Harlayne, Pisana	5
			medium to late	Anegat, Swired	6
			late	Faralia, Larquen	7
			late to very late	Fartoli	8
G			very late	Farclo, Farlis, Lartago	9

8. EXPLANATIONS ON THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS

8.1 Explanations covering several characteristics

Characteristics containing the following key in the third column of the Table of Characteristics should be examined as indicated below:

- a) Observations should be made during the dormant period and before the beginning of flowering, on trees that have fruited at least once.
- b) Observations should be made on fully developed leaves from the middle third of a well developed current season's long shoot.
- c) Observations should be made on fully developed flowers at the beginning of dehiscence.
- d) Observations should be made on mature fruits. Fruit ripening should be considered as the time of eating maturity.

Lateral view

Ventral view



8.2 Explanations for individual characteristics

Ad. 1: Tree: vigour

The tree vigour should be considered as the overall abundance of vegetative growth.





Ad. 3: Tree: number of branches

Observations should be made on the number of lateral branches and shoots, excluding spurs.

Ad. 5: One-year-old shoot: colour of sunny side

Observations should be made in the middle of one-year-old primary shoots.

Ad. 6: One-year-old shoot: size of bud support



Ad. 7: Young shoot: intensity of anthocyanin coloration of apex

Observation should be made during rapid growth, when the intensity of anthocyanin coloration of apex expresses at its maximum.

Ad. 12: Leaf blade: shape of base



Ad. 13: Leaf blade: angle of apex

Observation should exclude the tip.





Ad. 15: Leaf blade: incisions of margin

Observation should be made on the upper part of the leaf excluding the tip of the leaf blade.



Ad. 17: Leaf blade: profile in cross section

Leaves observed should be on spurs or at base of flowering shoots.

Ad. 19: Leaf: ratio length of blade/length of petiole



- a = length of leaf blade
- b = width of leaf blade
- c = length of petiole

Ad. 22: Petiole: number of nectaries



none or one



2 two or three



3 more than three



Ad. 26: Petal: shape

Observations should exclude the claw.



elliptic





Ad. 27: Petal: colour

Observations should be made on the petals at balloon stage.

Ad. 28: Sepal: attitude

Observations should be made on fully opened flowers.



upwards



outwards



downwards

Ad. 30: Fruit: shape in lateral view



Ad. 31: Fruit: shape in ventral view



Ad. 37: Fruit: symmetry in ventral view 2 3 1 symmetric slightly asymmetric strongly asymmetric Ad. 38: Fruit: suture 2 slightly sunken 1 raised 3 4 moderately sunken strongly sunken Ad. 40: Fruit: shape of apex in lateral view 2 3 1 rounded truncate acute Ad. 41: Fruit: shape of pistil end in ventral view Observations should exclude the mucron tip.



9

very large

Ad. 42: Fruit: presence of mucron





Ad. 46: Fruit: ground colour of skin

The ground colour is the first colour to appear chronologically during the development of the skin and upon which the over colour will develop in time. It is not always necessarily the largest area of the skin.





Ad. 53: Fruit: firmness of flesh

Observation is made by squeezing the fruit.

Ad. 56: Stone: shape in lateral view



Ad. 57: Kernel: bitterness

Observation is made by tasting the kernel.

Ad. 58: Time of beginning of flowering

Time of beginning of flowering is reached when 5 - 10% of flowers are open.

Ad. 59: Time of beginning of fruit ripening

Time of beginning of fruit ripening is reached when 5 - 10% of fruits have eating maturity.

8.3 Synonyms of example varieties

Example Varieties	Synonym(s)	
Sant' Ambrogio	Ambrosia, Saint Ambroise	
Bhart	NJA 32	
Borsi rózsa	Kecskemeter rose, Ružova neskora, Trandafirii tirzi	
Čačacansko zlato	Čačak's Gold	
Earle Orange	Erle Orange, Stark Earli Orange, Early Orange	
Goldrich	Sungiant	
Magyar kajszi	Cea mai bună de Ungaria, Gönci magyar kajszi, Hungarian Best, Klosterneuburger Aprikose, Krasnoshchokij, Mađarska najbolja, Meilleur d'Hongrie, Ungarische Beste	
Pineapple	Abricot d'Ananas, Ananas-Marille, Ananasnyj	
Proimo Tyrinthos	Précoce de Tyrinthe	
Sateni Karmir	Tabarza	
Yerevani	Shalakh	

9. LITERATURE

Anonymous, 1997: The Brooks and Olmo register of new fruit and nut varieties. Third edition, ASHS Press, Alexandria, VA, US.

Agulian, S. L., et al., 1977: Abrikosy Armenii / Apricots of Armenia (bilingual book). Izdatel'stvo Aiastan, Yerevan, AM.

Beketovskaya, A. A., 1977: Dima. Sadovodstvo No.7, p. 28, Moskva, RU.

Boček, O., 1954: Pomologie. Státní Zemědělske Nakladatelství, Praha, CZ.

Bordeianu, T., *et al.*, 1963: Pomologia Republicii Populare Romîne. Vol. 1-8, Editura Academiei Republicii Populare Romîne, Bucuresti, RO.

Cifranič, P., et al., 1978: Pomologia. Priroda, Bratislava, SK.

Couranjou, J., 1977: Variétés d'abricotiers. INVUFLEC, Paris, FR.

Della Strada, G., Pennone, F., Fideghelli, C., Monastra, F., Cobianchi, D., 1989: Monografia di cultivar di albicocco. Istituto Sperimentale per la Frutticoltura, Roma, IT.

Guerriero, R., 1982: L'albicocco, (Apricot) Cultivar. R.E.D.A., Roma, IT.

Guerriero R., Monteleone P., 1992: Distribuzione di alcuni caratteri tassonomici in una collezione di oltre 100 cultivar di albicocco. Atti del Congresso su "Germoplasma frutticolo. Salvaguardia e valorizzazione delle risorse genetiche" Alghero; p. 343-348, IT.

Guerriero R., Monteleone P., 1992: Principali caratteristiche tassonomiche e agronomiche di 28 cultivar di albicocco italiane in pericolo di estinzione. Atti del Congresso su "Germoplasma frutticolo. Salvaguardia e valorizzazione delle risorse genetiche" Alghero; 349-356, IT.

G. Tóth M., 1997: Gyümölcsészet (Pomology). PRIMOM, Nyíregyháza, HU.

Krümmel, H., Groh, W., Friedrich, G., 1964: Deutsche Obstsorten. Bd. 1-3. Deutscher Landwirtschaftsverlag, Berlin, DE.

Löschnig, J., Passecker, F., 1954: Die Marille (Aprikose) und ihre Kultur. Österreichischer Agrarverlag, Wien, AT.

Nagano-ken, 1980: The report on the characterization and classification of apricot varieties, Nagano-ken Fruit Tree Experiment Station (by consignment of the MAFF), JP.

Nyujtó, F., Surányi, D., 1981: Kajszibarack (Apricot). Mezőgazdasági Kiadó. Budapest, HU.

Nyujtó, F., Tomcsányi, P., 1959: A kajszibarack és termesztése (Apricot growing). Mezőgazdasági Kiadó. Budapest, HU.

Pochyba, D., et al., 1964: Pomologia. Slov. Vyd. Polnohosp. Lit., Bratislava, SK.

Rayman, J., Tomcsányi, P., 1964: Gyümölcsfajták zsebkönyve. Almagyümölcsűek és csonthéjasok (Pocket manual of fruit varieties 1.). Mezőgazdasági Kiadó, Budapest, HU.

Shepelskij, A. I., 1966: Novye sorta plodovykh i yagodnykh kul'tur Ukrain (New fruit varieties of Ukraine). Urozhai, Kiev, UA.

Simirenko, L. P., 1963: Pomologiia. Vol. 1-3. Izd S/h. Lit. Ukr. SSR, Kiev, UA.

Sinskaya, E. N., 1949: Kulturnaya flora SSSR. XVIII. Plodovye kostochkovye (Cultivated plants of USSR. Stone fruits). OGIZ-Sel'khozgiz, Moskva-Leningrad, RU.

Smirnov, V. F., 1972: Novye sorta kostochkovykh kul'tur, vyvedennye v SSSR (New stone fruit varieties bred in USSR). Izdatel'stvo Nauka, Moskva, RU.

Smykov, V. K., et al., 1974: Kostochkovye kul'tury (Stone fruits). Izdatel'stvo Kartya Moldovenyaske, Kishinev, MD.

Smykov, V. K., et al., 1974: Kul'tura abrikosa v neoroshaemykh usloviyakh Moldavii (Apricot growing under nonirrigated conditions of Moldavia). Izdatel'stvo Stiinca, Kishinev, MD.

Stoichkov, J., et al., 1960: B"lgarska pomologiya (Bulgarian Pomology). Zemizdat, Sofia, BG.

Tomcsányi, P., et al., 1979: Gyümölcsfajtáink, Gyakorlati pomológia (Practical Pomology). Mezőgazdasági Kiadó, Budapest, HU

10. TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE

The Technical Questionnaire is available on the <u>CPVO website</u> under the following reference: CPVO-TQ/070/3 – *Prunus armeniaca* L. – apricot

Link to e-TQ:

https://online.plantvarieties.eu/backOfficeFormQuestions?viewFormId=14413&viewFormType=TQ&viewFormLang=E N&speciesIds=PRU02&status=1,2&order=formName