



PROTOCOL FOR TESTS ON DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY

***Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.**

BOTTLE GOURD, CALABASH

UPOV Code: LAGEN_SIC

Adopted on 15/03/2017

Entry into force on 01/01/2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CPVO-TP/313/1

1. SUBJECT OF THE PROTOCOL AND REPORTING.....	3
1.1 Scope of the technical protocol.....	3
1.2 Entry Into Force.....	3
1.3 Reporting between Examination Office and CPVO and Liaison with Applicant.....	3
2. MATERIAL REQUIRED	3
2.1 Plant material requirements	3
2.2 Informing the applicant of plant material requirements.....	4
2.3 Informing about problems on the submission of material	4
3. METHOD OF EXAMINATION.....	4
3.1 Number of growing cycles.....	4
3.2 Testing Place	4
3.3 Conditions for Conducting the Examination.....	4
3.4 Test design.....	4
3.5 Additional tests	4
3.6 Constitution and maintenance of a variety collection	4
4. ASSESSMENT OF DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY	5
4.1 Distinctness	5
4.2 Uniformity	6
4.3 Stability.....	6
5. GROUPING OF VARIETIES AND ORGANIZATION OF THE GROWING TRIAL.....	6
6. INTRODUCTION TO THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS	7
6.1 Characteristics to be used	7
6.2 Example Varieties.....	8
6.3 Legend.....	8
7. TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS.....	9
8. EXPLANATIONS ON THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS.....	14
8.1 Explanations covering several characteristics	14
8.2 Explanations for individual characteristics.....	14
9. LITERATURE	19
10. TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	20

1. SUBJECT OF THE PROTOCOL AND REPORTING

1.1 Scope of the technical protocol

This Technical Protocol applies to all varieties of *Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.

The protocol describes the technical procedures to be followed in order to meet the requirements of Council Regulation 2100/94 on Community Plant Variety Rights. The technical procedures have been agreed by the Administrative Council and are based on documents agreed by the International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV), such as the General Introduction to DUS (UPOV Document TG/1/3 http://www.upov.int/export/sites/upov/resource/en/tg_1_3.pdf), its associated TGP documents (<http://www.upov.int/tgp/en/>) and the relevant UPOV Test Guideline TG/313/1 dated 25/03/2015 (<http://www.upov.int/edocs/tgdocs/en/tg313.pdf>) for the conduct of tests for Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability.

1.2 Entry into Force

The present protocol enters into force on **01.01.2017**. Any ongoing DUS examination of candidate varieties started before the aforesaid date will not be affected by the approval of the Technical Protocol. Technical examinations of candidate varieties are carried out according to the TP in force when the DUS test starts. The starting date of a DUS examination is considered to be the due date for submitting of plant material for the first test period.

In cases where the Office requests to take-over a DUS report for which the technical examination has either been finalized or which is in the process to be carried out at the moment of this request, such report can only be accepted if the technical examination has been carried out according to the CPVO TP which was in force at the moment when the technical examination started.

1.3 Reporting between Examination Office and CPVO and Liaison with Applicant

1.3.1 Reporting between Examination Office and CPVO

The Examination Office shall deliver to the CPVO a preliminary report ("the preliminary report") no later than two weeks after the date of the request for technical examination by the CPVO.

The Examination Office shall also deliver to the CPVO a report relating to each growing period ("the interim report") and, when the Examination Office considers the results of the technical examination to be adequate to evaluate the variety or the CPVO so requests, a report relating to the examination ("the final report").

The final report shall state the opinion of the Examination Office on the distinctness, uniformity and stability of the variety. Where it considers those criteria to be satisfied, or where the CPVO so requests, a description of the variety shall be added to the report. If a report is negative the Examination Office shall set out the detailed reasons for its findings.

The interim and the final reports shall be delivered to the CPVO as soon as possible and no later than on the deadlines as laid down in the designation agreement.

1.3.2 Informing on problems in the DUS test

If problems arise during the course of the test the CPVO should be informed immediately so that the information can be passed on to the applicant. Subject to prior permanent agreement, the applicant may be directly informed at the same time as the CPVO particularly if a visit to the trial is advisable.

1.3.3 Sample keeping in case of problems

If the technical examination has resulted in a negative report, the CPVO shall inform the Examination Office as soon as possible in case that a representative sample of any relevant testing material shall be kept.

2. MATERIAL REQUIRED

2.1 Plant material requirements

Information with respect to the agreed closing dates and submission requirements of plant material for the technical examination of varieties can be found on <http://cpvo.europa.eu/applications-and-examinations/technical-examinations/submission-of-plant-material-s2-publication> in the special issue S2 of the Official Gazette of the Office. General requirements on submission of samples are also to be found following the same link.

2.2 Informing the applicant of plant material requirements

The CPVO informs the applicant that

- he is responsible for ensuring compliance with any customs and plant health requirements.
- the plant material supplied should be visibly healthy, not lacking in vigour, nor affected by any important pest or disease.
- the plant material should not have undergone any treatment which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If it has been treated, full details of the treatment must be given.

2.3 Informing about problems on the submission of material

The Examination Office shall report to the CPVO immediately in cases where the test material of the candidate variety has not arrived in time or in cases where the material submitted does not fulfil the conditions laid down in the request for material issued by the CPVO.

In cases where the examination office encounters difficulties to obtain plant material of reference varieties the CPVO should be informed.

3. METHOD OF EXAMINATION

3.1 Number of growing cycles

The minimum duration of tests should normally be two growing cycles.

The two independent growing cycles should be in the form of two separate plantings.

3.2 Testing Place

Tests are normally conducted at one place. In the case of tests conducted at more than one place, guidance is provided in TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness" http://www.upov.int/edocs/tgpdocs/en/tgp_9.pdf.

3.3 Conditions for Conducting the Examination

The tests should be carried out under conditions ensuring satisfactory growth for the expression of the relevant characteristics of the variety and for the conduct of the examination.

3.4 Test design

3.4.1 Each test should be designed to result in a total of at least 20 plants, which should be divided between at least 2 replicates.

3.4.2 The design of the tests should be such that plants or parts of plants may be removed for measurement or counting without prejudice to the observations which must be made up to the end of the growing cycle.

3.5 Additional tests

In accordance with Article 83(3) of Council Regulation No. 2100/94 an applicant may claim either in the Technical Questionnaire or during the test that a candidate has a characteristic which would be helpful in establishing distinctness. If such a claim is made and is supported by reliable technical data, an additional test may be undertaken providing that a technically acceptable test procedure can be devised.

Additional tests will be undertaken, with the agreement of the President of CPVO, where distinctness is unlikely to be shown using the characters listed in the protocol.

3.6 Constitution and maintenance of a variety collection

The process for the constitution and the maintenance of a variety collection can be summarized as follows:

Step 1: Making an inventory of the varieties of common knowledge

Step 2: Establishing a collection ("variety collection") of varieties of common knowledge which are relevant for the examination of distinctness of candidate varieties

Step 3: Selecting the varieties from the variety collection which need to be included in the growing trial or other tests for the examination of distinctness of a particular candidate variety.

3.6.1 Forms of variety collection

The variety collection shall comprise variety descriptions and living plant material, thus a living reference collection. The variety description shall be produced by the EO unless special cooperation exists between EOs and the CPVO. The descriptive and pictorial information produced by the EO shall be held and maintained in a form of a database.

3.6.2 Living Plant Material

The EO shall collect and maintain living plant material of varieties of the species concerned in the variety collection.

3.6.3 Range of the variety collection

The living variety collection shall cover at least those varieties that are suitable to climatic conditions of a respective EO.

3.6.4 Making an inventory of varieties of common knowledge for inclusion in the variety collection

The inventory shall take into account the list of protected varieties and the official, or other, registers of varieties, in particular:

The inventory shall include varieties protected under National PBR (UPOV contracting parties) and Community PBR, varieties registered in the Common Catalogue, the OECD list, the Conservation variety list and varieties in trade or in commercial registers for those species not covered by a National or the Common Catalogue.

3.6.5 Maintenance and renewal/update of a living variety collection

The EO shall maintain seeds in conditions which will ensure germination and viability, periodical checks, and renewal as required. For the renewal of existing living material the identity of replacement living plant material shall be verified by conducting side-by-side plot comparisons between the material in the collection and the new material.

4. ASSESSMENT OF DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY

The prescribed procedure is to assess distinctness, uniformity and stability in a growing trial.

4.1 Distinctness

4.1.1 General recommendations

It is of particular importance for users of this Technical Protocol to consult the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS (link in chapter 1 of this document) and TGP 9 'Examining Distinctness' (http://www.upov.int/edocs/tgpdocs/en/tgp_9.pdf) prior to making decisions regarding distinctness. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in this Technical Protocol.

Further guidance is provided in documents TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness" and TGP/8 "Trial Design and Techniques Used in the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability".

4.1.2 Consistent differences

The differences observed between varieties may be so clear that more than one growing cycle is not necessary. In addition, in some circumstances, the influence of the environment is not such that more than a single growing cycle is required to provide assurance that the differences observed between varieties are sufficiently consistent. One means of ensuring that a difference in a characteristic, observed in a growing trial, is sufficiently consistent is to examine the characteristic in at least two independent growing cycles.

4.1.3 Clear differences

Determining whether a difference between two varieties is clear depends on many factors, and should consider, in particular, the type of expression of the characteristic being examined, i.e. whether it is expressed in a qualitative, quantitative, or pseudo-qualitative manner. Therefore, it is important that users of these Technical Protocols are familiar with the recommendations contained in the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS prior to making decisions regarding distinctness.

4.1.4 Number of plants/parts of plants to be examined

Unless otherwise indicated, for the purposes of distinctness, all observations on single plants should be made on 10 plants or parts taken from each of 10 plants and any other observations made on all plants in the test, disregarding any off-type plants.

4.1.5 Method of observation

The recommended method of observing the characteristic for the purposes of distinctness is indicated by the following key in the third column of the Table of Characteristics (see document TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness", Section 4 "Observation of characteristics"):

MG:	single measurement of a group of plants or parts of plants
MS:	measurement of a number of individual plants or parts of plants
VG:	visual assessment by a single observation of a group of plants or parts of plants
VS:	visual assessment by observation of individual plants or parts of plants

Type of observation: visual (V) or measurement (M)

"Visual" observation (V) is an observation made on the basis of the expert's judgment. For the purposes of this document, "visual" observation refers to the sensory observations of the experts and, therefore, also includes smell, taste and touch. Visual observation includes observations where the expert uses reference points (e.g. diagrams, example varieties, side-by-side comparison) or non-linear charts (e.g. colour charts). Measurement (M) is an objective observation against a calibrated, linear scale e.g. using a ruler, weighing scales, colorimeter, dates, counts, etc.

Type of record: for a group of plants (G) or for single, individual plants (S)

For the purposes of distinctness, observations may be recorded as a single record for a group of plants or parts of plants (G), or may be recorded as records for a number of single, individual plants or parts of plants (S). In most cases, "G" provides a single record per variety and it is not possible or necessary to apply statistical methods in a plant-by-plant analysis for the assessment of distinctness."

In cases where more than one method of observing the characteristic is indicated in the Table of Characteristics (e.g. VG/MG), guidance on selecting an appropriate method is provided in document TGP/9, Section 4.2.

4.2 **Uniformity**

It is of particular importance for users of this Technical Protocol to consult the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS (link in chapter 1 of this document) and TGP 10 'Examining Uniformity' (http://www.upov.int/edocs/tgpdocs/en/tgp_10.pdf) prior to making decisions regarding uniformity. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in this Technical Protocol:

- 4.2.1 The assessment of uniformity for cross-pollinated varieties should be according to the recommendations for cross-pollinated varieties in the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS.
- 4.2.2 The assessment of uniformity of hybrid varieties depends on the type of hybrid and should be according to the recommendations for cross-pollinated varieties in the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS.
- 4.2.2 For the assessment of uniformity, a population standard of 2% for cross-pollinated varieties and of 1% for hybrid varieties with an acceptance probability of at least 95% should be applied. In the case of a sample size of 20 plants, the maximum number of off-types allowed is 1 for hybrid varieties and 2 for cross-pollinated varieties.

4.3 **Stability**

- 4.3.1 It is of particular importance for users of this Technical Protocol to consult the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS (link in chapter 1 of this document) and TGP 11 'Examining Stability' (http://www.upov.int/edocs/tgpdocs/en/tgp_11.pdf).

In practice, it is not usual to perform tests of stability that produce results as certain as those of the testing of distinctness and uniformity. However, experience has demonstrated that, for many types of variety, when a variety has been shown to be uniform, it can also be considered to be stable.

- 4.3.2 Where appropriate, or in cases of doubt, stability may be further examined by testing a new seed or plant stock to ensure that it exhibits the same characteristics as those shown by the initial material supplied.

5. **GROUPING OF VARIETIES AND ORGANIZATION OF THE GROWING TRIAL**

- 5.1 The selection of varieties of common knowledge to be grown in the trial with the candidate varieties and the way in which these varieties are divided into groups to facilitate the assessment of distinctness are aided by the use of grouping characteristics.

5.2 Grouping characteristics are those in which the documented states of expression, even where produced at different locations, can be used, either individually or in combination with other such characteristics: (a) to select varieties of common knowledge that can be excluded from the growing trial used for examination of distinctness; and (b) to organize the growing trial so that similar varieties are grouped together.

5.3 The following have been agreed as useful grouping characteristics:

- a) Fruit: shape of fruit excluding neck (characteristic 10)
- b) Fruit: length (characteristic 11)
- c) Fruit: diameter (characteristic 12)
- d) Fruit: neck (characteristic 13)
- e) Neck: length in relation to length of fruit (characteristic 15)
- f) Fruit: texture of skin (characteristic 20)

5.4 If other characteristics than those from the TP are used for the selection of varieties to be included into the growing trial, the EO shall inform the CPVO and seek the prior consent of the CPVO before using these characteristics.

6. INTRODUCTION TO THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS

6.1 Characteristics to be used

The characteristics to be used in DUS tests and preparation of descriptions shall be those referred to in the table of characteristics. All the characteristics shall be used, providing that observation of a characteristic is not rendered impossible by the expression of any other characteristic, or the expression of a characteristic is prevented by the environmental conditions under which the test is conducted or by specific legislation on plant health. In the latter case, the CPVO should be informed.

The Administrative Council empowers the President, in accordance with Article 23 of Commission Regulation N°874/2009, to insert additional characteristics and their expressions in respect of a variety.

Technical Protocols with asterisked characteristics (only for certain vegetable species)

In the case of disease resistance characteristics, only those resistances marked with an asterisk (*) in the CPVO column are compulsory.

States of expression and corresponding notes

In the case of qualitative and pseudo-qualitative characteristics, all relevant states of expression are presented in the characteristic. However, in the case of quantitative characteristics with 5 or more states, an abbreviated scale may be used to minimize the size of the Table of Characteristics. For example, in the case of a quantitative characteristic with 9 states, the presentation of states of expression in the Test Guidelines may be abbreviated as follows:

State	Note
small	3
medium	5
large	7

However, it should be noted that all of the following 9 states of expression exist to describe varieties and should be used as appropriate:

State	Note
very small	1
very small to small	2
small	3
small to medium	4
medium	5
medium to large	6
large	7
large to very large	8
very large	9

6.2 Example Varieties

Where appropriate, example varieties are provided to clarify the states of expression of each characteristic.

6.3 Legend

For the CPVO N° column:

G	Grouping characteristic	– see Chapter 5
(*)	Asterisked characteristic	– see Chapter 6.1.2 (only for certain vegetable species)
QL	Qualitative characteristic	
QN	Quantitative characteristic	
PQ	Pseudo-qualitative characteristic	
(+)	See Explanations on the Table of Characteristics in Chapter 8.2	

For the UPOV N° column:

The numbering of the characteristics is provided as a reference to the ad hoc UPOV guideline.

(*)	UPOV Asterisked characteristic	– Characteristics that are important for the international harmonisation of variety descriptions.
-----	--------------------------------	---

For column "stage, method":

MG, MS, VG, VS	– see Chapter 4.1.5
(a)-(d)	See Explanations on the Table of Characteristics in Chapter 8.1

7. TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
1. QN	1.	VG/MS	Cotyledon: length		
			short	Renshi	1
			medium	Shimotsukeshiro	2
			long	Omarukanpyo	3
2. (+) QN	2.	VG (a)	Plant: length of main stem		
			short	Koganeizairai	3
			medium	Shimotsukeshiro	5
			long	Aodainaga	7
3. QN	3.	VG (a)	Leaf blade: size		
			small	Koganeizairai	3
			medium	Shimotsukeshiro	5
			large	Sakigake	7
4. QN	4.	VG (a)	Leaf blade: intensity of green colour		
			light	Indo	3
			medium	Shimotsukeshiro	5
			dark	Don-K	7
5. (+) QN	5.	VG (a)	Leaf blade: incisions		
			absent or shallow	Gigantesque	1
			medium	Pélerine	2
			deep	Tarahumara Canteen 3	3
6. (+) QN	6.	VG (b)	Male flower: diameter of corolla		
			small	Mini Bottle	3
			medium	Shimotsukeshiro	5
			large	Massue Comestible	7

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
7.	7.	VG	Male flower: overlapping of petals		
(+)		(b)	free	Canon Ball, Missionaris	1
QN			touching to slightly overlapping	Bouteille	2
			strongly overlapping	FR Strong, Massue Comestible	3
8.	8.	VG	Female flower: diameter of corolla		
(+)		(b)	small	Bouteille, Missionaris	3
QN			medium	Basket Ball Brasil, Shimotsukeshiro	5
			large	Massue Comestible	7
9.	9.	VG	Female flower: overlapping of petals		
(+)		(b)	free	Canon Ball, Missionaris	1
QN			touching to slightly overlapping	Basket Ball Brasil	2
			strongly overlapping	Massue Comestible	3
10.	10.	VG	Fruit: shape of fruit excluding neck		
(+)	(*)	(c)	obovate	Tarahumara canteen	1
PQ			clavate	Mayo Giant Bule	2
			oblate	Plate de Corse	3
			round	Canon Ball, Dipper Short Handled Mottled, Kroochneck fr, Medium Thai Bottle fr	4
			elliptic	Basket Ball Brasil, Tonneau Africa, Votavua Monta	5
			cylindrical	Massue Comestible	6
G			ovate	Apple, Verruqueuse africaine	7
11.	11.	MS/VG	Fruit: length		
(+)	(*)	(c)	very short	Canon Ball	1
QN			short	Basket Ball Brasil	3
			medium	Mayo Giant Bule	5
			long	Zucca	7
G			very long	Snake Speckled	9

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
12.	12.	MS/VG	Fruit: diameter		
(+)	(*)	(c)	very small	Mini Nigerian	1
QN			small	Massue Comestible	3
			medium	Strawberry	5
			large	Bule Mayo	7
G			very large	Gigantesque	9
13.	13.	VG	Fruit: neck		
(+)	(*)		absent or very short	Canon Ball, Plate de Corse	1
QN			short	Bule Mayo, Drague	3
			medium	Mayo gooseneck	5
			long	Long Handled Dipper	7
G			very long	Extra Long Dipper	9
14.	14.	VG	Neck: shape		
(+)	(*)	(c)	globose	Medium Thai Bottle fr	1
PQ			fusiform	Mayo gooseneck	2
			cylindrical	Dipper Short Handled Mottled, Lagenaria 12 A	3
15.	15.	MS/VG	Neck: length in relation to length of fruit		
(+)	(*)	(c)	very short	Missionaris	1
QN			short	Medium Thai Bottle	3
			medium	Long Handled Dipper	5
			long	Duck Australie fr	7
G			very long	Extra Long Dipper	9
16.	16.	MS/VG	Neck: diameter in relation to diameter of fruit		
(+)		(c)	small	Dipper Short Handled Mottled	3
QN			medium	Froggy	5
			large	Gigantesque	7

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
17.	17.	VG	Fruit: main colour		
QN		(c)	very light green	Bianca, Shimotsukeshiro	1
			light green	Pélerine, Plate de Corse	3
			medium green	Basket Ball Brasil, Canon Ball	5
			dark green	Kroochneck fr	7
			very dark green	Marenka	9
18.	18.	VG	Fruit: number of speckles		
(+)	(*)	(c)	none or very few	Marenka Limegreen, Shimotsukeshiro	1
QN			few	Basket Ball Brasil	3
			medium	Drague	5
			many	Froggy	7
19.	19.	VG	Fruit: size of speckles		
QN		(c)	small	Basket Ball Brasil	3
			medium	Chata P. Alegre	5
			large	Kroochneck fr	7
20.	20.	VG	Fruit: texture of skin		
(+)	(*)	(c)	smooth	Kroochneck fr	1
PQ			slightly verrucose	Bule Mayo	2
			moderately verrucose	Worthy Australia fr	3
			strongly verrucose	Verruqueuse Africaine	4
			slightly corrugated	Tol Fravago	5
			moderately corrugated	Marenka Limegreen	6
G			strongly corrugated	Marenka	7
21.	21.	VG	Fruit: pistil scar		
(+)			small	Pélerine	3
QN			medium	Massue Comestible	5
			large	NKombo fr	7

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
22.	22.	VG	Seed: width		
(+)		(d)	narrow	Mayo Gooseneck, Suisukanpyo	1
QN			medium	Mayo Giant Bule, Shimotsukeshiro	3
			broad	Nkombo fr, Omarukanpyo	5
23.	23.	VG	Seed: colour		
	(*)	(d)	light brown	Lagenaria 12A	1
PQ			dark brown	Canon Ball, Nkombo fr, Shimotsukeshiro	2
			black	Bule Mayo	3

8. EXPLANATIONS ON THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS

8.1 Explanations covering several characteristics

Characteristics containing the following key in the third column of the Table of Characteristics should be examined as indicated below:

- a) Observations should be made on fully developed leaves, at beginning of flowering.
- b) Observations should be made on flowers at full flowering.
- c) Observations should be made on fruits at physiological maturity.
- d) Observations should be made on fully developed dry seeds, after washing and leaving to dry in a shaded area.

8.2 Explanations for individual characteristics

Ad. 2: Plant: length of the main stem

Plants tend to develop many branches. The length of the main stem is correlated to the volume of the plant, the surface covered by the plant in the field, the growth speed of the stems. This characteristic could be assessed by comparisons between the plants of the same variety. When plants are spaced between the same distance between plants, it is possible to identify a variety which grows faster than another.

Ad. 5: Leaf blade: incisions



1
absent or shallow



2
medium



3
deep

Ad. 6: Male flower: diameter of corolla

Ad. 8: Female flower: diameter of corolla

The widest part of the flower should be assessed.

Ad. 7: Male flower: overlapping of petals



1
free




2
touching to slightly overlapping



3
strongly overlapping

Ad. 11: Fruit: length

Observations should be made on fully developed fruits including the neck




Ad. 12: Fruit: diameter


The widest part of the fruit should be assessed on fully developed fruits.




Ad. 13: Fruit: neck



Ad. 14: Neck: shape




Ad. 15: Neck: length in relation to length of fruit



1
very short



3
short



5
medium



7
long




9
very long

Ad. 16: Neck: diameter in relation to diameter of fruit



3
small



neck diameter = $\frac{1}{2}$ fruit diameter
5
medium



7
large

Ad. 18: Fruit: number of speckles



1
none or very few



3
few



5
medium



7
many

Ad. 19: Fruit: size of speckles



3
small



5
medium



7
large

Ad. 20: Fruit: texture of skin



1
smooth



2
slightly verrucose



3
moderately verrucose



4
strongly verrucose



5
slightly corrugated



6
moderately corrugated



7
strongly corrugated

Ad. 21: Fruit: pistil scar



3
small



5
medium



7
large

Ad. 22: Seed: width

The width of the seed is measured at the widest point.

9. LITERATURE

<http://cucurbitophile.fr/esp/051/esp.php>

<http://www.ars-grin.gov/~sbmljw/cgi-bin/taxon.pl?21385>

<http://plants.usda.gov/java/profile?symbol=LASI>

<http://www.prota4u.org/protav8.asp?h=M4&t=lagenaria,siceraria&p=Lagenaria+siceraria#Synonyms>

Darekar, K.S., Mhase, N.L., Shelke, S.S., 1989: Effect of nematicidal seed treatment on root knot nematode and yield of bottle-gourd. International Nematology Network Newsletter 6(1), US, pp. 14 to 16

Decker-Walters, D., Staub, J., López-Sesé, A., Nakata, E., 2001: Diversity in landraces and cultivars of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria*: Cucurbitaceae) as assessed by random amplified polymorphic DNA. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 48, US, pp. 369 to 380

Heiser, C.B., 1979: The gourd book. University of Oklahoma Press, Norman, US, 248 pp.

Ho CH, Ho MG, Ho SP, Ho HH., 2013: Bitter Bottle Gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria*) Toxicity. J Emerg Med. 2013.08.106, US <<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24360122>>

Jeffrey, C.: 1967: Cucurbitaceae. In: Milne-Redhead, E. & Polhill, R.M. (Editors). Flora of Tropical East Africa. Crown Agents for Oversea Governments and Administrations, London, GB. 157 pp.

Maundu, P.M., Ngugi, G.W., Kabuye, C.H.S., 1999: Traditional food plants of Kenya. Kenya Resource Centre for Indigenous Knowledge (KENRIK), Nairobi, KE, 270 pp.

Morimoto, Y., Mvere, B., 2004: *Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl. [Internet] Record from Protabase. Grubben, G.J.H. & Denton, O.A. (Editors). PROTA (Plant Resources of Tropical Africa / Ressources végétales de l'Afrique tropicale), Wageningen, NL <<http://database.prota.org/search.htm>>.

Richardson, J.B., 1972: The pre-Columbian distribution of the bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria*): a re-evaluation. Economic Botany 26, US, pp. 265 to 273

Schippers, R.R., 2002: African indigenous vegetables, an overview of the cultivated species 2002. Revised edition on CD-ROM. National Resources International Limited, Aylesford, GB.

Shah, B.N., Seth, A.K., Desai, R.V., 2010: Phytopharmacological Profile of *Lagenaria siceraria*: A Review. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences 9 (3), pp. 152 to 157

Widjaja, E.A., Reyes, M.E.C., 1993: *Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standley. In: Siemonsma, J.S. & Kasem Piluek (Editors). Plant Resources of South-East Asia No 8. Vegetables. Pudoc Scientific Publishers, Wageningen, NL, pp. 190 to 192

10. TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE

The Technical Questionnaire is available on the CPVO website under the following reference:
CPVO-TQ/313/1.