



PROTOCOL FOR TESTS ON DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY

Pelargonium grandiflorum (Andrews) Willd.;
P. ×domesticum L. H. Bailey;
P. crispum (P.J. Bergius) L'Hér. and
P. crispum × *P. ×domesticum*

REGAL PELARGONIUM

UPOV Code: PELAR_GRD; PELAR_DOM; PELAR_CRI; PELAR_CDO

Adopted on 01/10/2015

Entry into force on 01/10/2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CPVO-TP/109/1

1. SUBJECT OF THE PROTOCOL AND REPORTING.....	3
1.1 Scope of the technical protocol.....	3
1.2 Entry Into Force.....	3
1.3 Reporting between Examination Office and CPVO and Liaison with Applicant.....	3
2. MATERIAL REQUIRED	3
2.1 Plant material requirements	3
2.2 Informing the applicant of plant material requirements.....	3
2.3 Informing about problems on the submission of material	4
3. METHOD OF EXAMINATION.....	4
3.1 Number of growing cycles.....	4
3.2 Testing Place	4
3.3 Conditions for Conducting the Examination.....	4
3.4 Test design.....	4
3.5 Additional tests	4
3.6 Constitution and maintenance of a variety collection	4
4. ASSESSMENT OF DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY	5
4.1 Distinctness	5
4.2 Uniformity	6
4.3 Stability.....	6
5. GROUPING OF VARIETIES AND ORGANIZATION OF THE GROWING TRIAL.....	6
6. INTRODUCTION TO THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS	7
6.1 Characteristics to be used	7
6.2 Example Varieties.....	8
6.3 Legend.....	8
7. TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS.....	9
8. EXPLANATIONS ON THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS.....	14
8.1 Explanations covering several characteristics	14
8.2 Explanations for individual characteristics.....	14
9. LITERATURE	19
10. TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	20

1. SUBJECT OF THE PROTOCOL AND REPORTING

1.1 Scope of the technical protocol

This Technical Protocol applies to all varieties of *Pelargonium grandiflorum* (Andrews) Willd.; *P. ×domesticum* L. H. Bailey; *P. crispum* (P.J. Bergius) L'Hér. and *P. crispum* × *P. ×domesticum*.

The protocol describes the technical procedures to be followed in order to meet the requirements of Council Regulation 2100/94 on Community Plant Variety Rights. The technical procedures have been agreed by the Administrative Council and are based on documents agreed by the International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV), such as the General Introduction to DUS (UPOV Document TG/1/3 http://www.upov.int/en/publications/intro_dus.htm), its associated TGP documents (<http://www.upov.int/en/publications/tgp/>) and the relevant UPOV Test Guideline TG/109/4 dated 25/03/2015 (<http://www.upov.int/edocs/tgdocs/en/tg109.pdf>) for the conduct of tests for Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability.

1.2 Entry into Force

The present protocol enters into force on **01.10.2015**. Any ongoing DUS examination of candidate varieties started before the aforesaid date will not be affected by the approval of the Technical Protocol. Technical examinations of candidate varieties are carried out according to the TP in force when the DUS test starts. The starting date of a DUS examination is considered to be the due date for submitting of plant material for the first test period.

In cases where the Office requests to take-over a DUS report for which the technical examination has either been finalized or which is in the process to be carried out at the moment of this request, such report can only be accepted if the technical examination has been carried out according to the CPVO TP which was in force at the moment when the technical examination started.

1.3 Reporting between Examination Office and CPVO and Liaison with Applicant

1.3.1 Reporting between Examination Office and CPVO

The Examination Office shall deliver to the CPVO a preliminary report ("the preliminary report") no later than two weeks after the date of the request for technical examination by the CPVO.

The Examination Office shall also deliver to the CPVO a report relating to each growing period ("the interim report") and, when the Examination Office considers the results of the technical examination to be adequate to evaluate the variety or the CPVO so requests, a report relating to the examination ("the final report").

The final report shall state the opinion of the Examination Office on the distinctness, uniformity and stability of the variety. Where it considers those criteria to be satisfied, or where the CPVO so requests, a description of the variety shall be added to the report. If a report is negative the Examination Office shall set out the detailed reasons for its findings.

The interim and the final reports shall be delivered to the CPVO as soon as possible and no later than on the deadlines as laid down in the designation agreement.

1.3.2 Informing on problems in the DUS test

If problems arise during the course of the test the CPVO should be informed immediately so that the information can be passed on to the applicant. Subject to prior permanent agreement, the applicant may be directly informed at the same time as the CPVO particularly if a visit to the trial is advisable.

1.3.3 Sample keeping in case of problems

If the technical examination has resulted in a negative report, the CPVO shall inform the Examination Office as soon as possible in case that a representative sample of any relevant testing material shall be kept.

2. MATERIAL REQUIRED

2.1 Plant material requirements

Information with respect to the agreed closing dates and submission requirements of plant material for the technical examination of varieties can be found on <http://www.cpvo.europa.eu/main/en/home/documents-and-publications/s2-gazette> in the special issue S2 of the Official Gazette of the Office. General requirements on submission of samples are also to be found following the same link.

2.2 Informing the applicant of plant material requirements

The CPVO informs the applicant that

- he is responsible for ensuring compliance with any customs and plant health requirements.
- the plant material supplied should be visibly healthy, not lacking in vigour, nor affected by any important pest or disease.
- the plant material should not have undergone any treatment which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If it has been treated, full details of the treatment must be given.

2.3 Informing about problems on the submission of material

The Examination Office shall report to the CPVO immediately in cases where the test material of the candidate variety has not arrived in time or in cases where the material submitted does not fulfil the conditions laid down in the request for material issued by the CPVO.

In cases where the examination office encounters difficulties to obtain plant material of reference varieties the CPVO should be informed.

3. METHOD OF EXAMINATION

3.1 Number of growing cycles

The minimum duration of tests should normally be a single growing cycle.

3.2 Testing Place

Tests are normally conducted at one place. In the case of tests conducted at more than one place, guidance is provided in TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness"
http://www.upov.int/export/sites/upov/en/publications/tgp/documents/tgp_9_1.pdf.

3.3 Conditions for Conducting the Examination

The tests should be carried out under conditions ensuring satisfactory growth for the expression of the relevant characteristics of the variety and for the conduct of the examination.

Stage of development for the assessment

The optimum stage of development for the assessment of each characteristic is indicated by a number in the third column of the Table of Characteristics. The stages of development denoted by each number are described in Chapter 8.2.

Observation of colour by eye

Because daylight varies, colour determinations made against a colour chart should be made either in a suitable cabinet providing artificial daylight or in the middle of the day in a room without direct sunlight. The spectral distribution of the illuminant for artificial daylight should conform with the CIE Standard of Preferred Daylight D 6500 and should fall within the tolerances set out in the British Standard 950, Part I. These determinations should be made with the plant part placed against a white background. The colour chart and version used should be specified in the variety description.

3.4 Test design

3.4.1 Each test should be designed to result in a total of at least 15 plants.

3.4.2 The design of the tests should be such that plants or parts of plants may be removed for measurement or counting without prejudice to the observations which must be made up to the end of the growing cycle.

3.5 Additional tests

In accordance with Article 83(3) of Council Regulation No. 2100/94 an applicant may claim either in the Technical Questionnaire or during the test that a candidate has a characteristic which would be helpful in establishing distinctness. If such a claim is made and is supported by reliable technical data, an additional test may be undertaken providing that a technically acceptable test procedure can be devised.

Additional tests will be undertaken, with the agreement of the President of CPVO, where distinctness is unlikely to be shown using the characters listed in the protocol.

3.6 Constitution and maintenance of a variety collection

The process for the constitution and the maintenance of a variety collection can be summarized as follows:

Step 1: Making an inventory of the varieties of common knowledge

Step 2: Establishing a collection ("variety collection") of varieties of common knowledge which are relevant for the examination of distinctness of candidate varieties

Step 3: Selecting the varieties from the variety collection which need to be included in the growing trial or other tests for the examination of distinctness of a particular candidate variety.

3.6.1 Forms of variety collection

The variety collection shall comprise variety descriptions and may comprise living plant material. The variety description shall be produced by the EO unless special cooperation exists between EOs and the CPVO. The descriptive and pictorial information produced by the EO shall be held and maintained in a form of a database

3.6.2 Living Plant Material

The EO shall obtain living plant material of reference varieties as and when those varieties need to be included in growing trials or other tests.

3.6.3 Making an inventory of varieties of common knowledge for inclusion in the variety collection

The inventory shall take into account the list of protected varieties and the official, or other, registers of varieties, in particular:

Crop sectors other than agricultural and vegetable species

The inventory shall include varieties protected under National and Community PBR, varieties of National Catalogues for fruit species) and varieties in trade or in commercial registers. In addition to the above, the inventory shall be extended to the appropriate to

- any commercial document in which varieties are marketed as propagating or harvested material, especially when there is no official registration system;
- any list including varieties which are publicly available within plant collections (varieties included in genetic resource collections, collection of old varieties, etc.);
- information provided by relevant plant experts;
- relevant example varieties referred to in the technical protocols for the examination of distinctness.

4. ASSESSMENT OF DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY

The prescribed procedure is to assess distinctness, uniformity and stability in a growing trial.

4.1 Distinctness

4.1.1 General recommendations

It is of particular importance for users of this Technical Protocol to consult the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS (link in chapter 1 of this document) and TGP 9 'Examining Distinctness' (http://www.upov.int/export/sites/upov/en/publications/tgp/documents/tgp_9_1.pdf) prior to making decisions regarding distinctness. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in this Technical Protocol.

4.1.2 Consistent differences

The differences observed between varieties may be so clear that more than one growing cycle is not necessary. In addition, in some circumstances, the influence of the environment is not such that more than a single growing cycle is required to provide assurance that the differences observed between varieties are sufficiently consistent. One means of ensuring that a difference in a characteristic, observed in a growing trial, is sufficiently consistent is to examine the characteristic in at least two independent growing cycles.

4.1.3 Clear differences

Determining whether a difference between two varieties is clear depends on many factors, and should consider, in particular, the type of expression of the characteristic being examined, i.e. whether it is expressed in a qualitative, quantitative, or pseudo-qualitative manner. Therefore, it is important that users of these Technical Protocols are familiar with the recommendations contained in the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS prior to making decisions regarding distinctness.

Decision standards

4.1.4 Number of plants/parts of plants to be examined

Unless otherwise indicated, for the purposes of distinctness, all observations on single plants should be made on 10 plants or parts taken from each of 10 plants and any other observations made on all plants in the test, disregarding any off-type plants.

4.1.5 Method of observation

The recommended method of observing the characteristic for the purposes of distinctness is indicated by the following key in the third column of the Table of Characteristics (see document TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness", Section 4 "Observation of characteristics"):

MG: single measurement of a group of plants or parts of plants
MS: measurement of a number of individual plants or parts of plants
VG: visual assessment by a single observation of a group of plants or parts of plants
VS: visual assessment by observation of individual plants or parts of plants

Type of observation: visual (V) or measurement (M)

"Visual" observation (V) is an observation made on the basis of the expert's judgment. For the purposes of this document, "visual" observation refers to the sensory observations of the experts and, therefore, also includes smell, taste and touch. Visual observation includes observations where the expert uses reference points (e.g. diagrams, example varieties, side-by-side comparison) or non-linear charts (e.g. colour charts). Measurement (M) is an objective observation against a calibrated, linear scale e.g. using a ruler, weighing scales, colorimeter, dates, counts, etc.

Type of record: for a group of plants (G) or for single, individual plants (S)

For the purposes of distinctness, observations may be recorded as a single record for a group of plants or parts of plants (G), or may be recorded as records for a number of single, individual plants or parts of plants (S). In most cases, "G" provides a single record per variety and it is not possible or necessary to apply statistical methods in a plant-by-plant analysis for the assessment of distinctness."

In cases where more than one method of observing the characteristic is indicated in the Table of Characteristics (e.g. VG/MG), guidance on selecting an appropriate method is provided in document TGP/9, Section 4.2.

4.2 **Uniformity**

It is of particular importance for users of this Technical Protocol to consult the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS (link in chapter 1 of this document) and TGP 10 'Examining Uniformity' (http://www.upov.int/edocs/tgpdocs/en/tgp_10_1.pdf) prior to making decisions regarding uniformity. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in this Technical Protocol:

(i) Uniformity assessment on all plants in the test

For the assessment of uniformity in a sample of 15 plants, a population standard of 1% and an acceptance probability of at least 95% should be applied. In the case of a sample size of 15 plants, 1 off-type is allowed.

4.3 **Stability**

4.3.1 It is of particular importance for users of this Technical Protocol to consult the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS (link in chapter 1 of this document) and TGP 11 'Examining Stability' (http://www.upov.int/export/sites/upov/en/publications/tgp/documents/tgp_11_1.pdf)

In practice, it is not usual to perform tests of stability that produce results as certain as those of the testing of distinctness and uniformity. However, experience has demonstrated that, for many types of variety, when a variety has been shown to be uniform, it can also be considered to be stable.

5. **GROUPING OF VARIETIES AND ORGANIZATION OF THE GROWING TRIAL**

5.1 The selection of varieties of common knowledge to be grown in the trial with the candidate varieties and the way in which these varieties are divided into groups to facilitate the assessment of distinctness are aided by the use of grouping characteristics.

5.2 Grouping characteristics are those in which the documented states of expression, even where produced at different locations, can be used, either individually or in combination with other such characteristics: (a) to select varieties of common knowledge that can be excluded from the growing trial used for examination of distinctness; and (b) to organize the growing trial so that similar varieties are grouped together.

5.3 The following have been agreed as useful grouping characteristics:

- a) Plant: height (characteristic 1)
 - b) Flower: width (characteristic 11)
 - c) Upper petal: main colour of middle (characteristic 18)
 - d) Lower petal: main colour of middle (characteristic 24)
- c) and d) with the following groups:

- Gr. 1: white
- Gr. 2: light pink
- Gr. 3: medium pink
- Gr. 4: dark pink
- Gr. 5: light red
- Gr. 6: medium red
- Gr. 7: dark red
- Gr. 8: purple
- Gr. 9: violet

- 5.4** If other characteristics than those from the TP are used for the selection of varieties to be included into the growing trial, the EO shall inform the CPVO and seek the prior consent of the CPVO before using these characteristics.

Guidance for the use of grouping characteristics, in the process of examining distinctness, is provided through the General Introduction and document TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness".

6. INTRODUCTION TO THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS

6.1 Characteristics to be used

The characteristics to be used in DUS tests and preparation of descriptions shall be those referred to in the table of characteristics. All the characteristics shall be used, providing that observation of a characteristic is not rendered impossible by the expression of any other characteristic, or the expression of a characteristic is prevented by the environmental conditions under which the test is conducted or by specific legislation on plant health. In the latter case, the CPVO should be informed.

The Administrative Council empowers the President, in accordance with Article 23 of Commission Regulation N°874/2009, to insert additional characteristics and their expressions in respect of a variety.

States of expression and corresponding notes

6.1.1 States of expression are given for each characteristic to define the characteristic and to harmonize descriptions. Each state of expression is allocated a corresponding numerical note for ease of recording of data and for the production and exchange of the description

6.1.2 In the case of qualitative and pseudo-qualitative characteristics, all relevant states of expression are presented in the characteristic. However, in the case of quantitative characteristics with 5 or more states, an abbreviated scale may be used to minimize the size of the Table of Characteristics. For example, in the case of a quantitative characteristic with 9 states, the presentation of states of expression in the Test Guidelines may be abbreviated as follows:

State	Note
small	3
medium	5
large	7

However, it should be noted that all of the following 9 states of expression exist to describe varieties and should be used as appropriate:

State	Note
very small	1
very small to small	2
small	3
small to medium	4
medium	5
medium to large	6
large	7
large to very large	8
very large	9

6.1.3 Further explanation of the presentation of states of expression and notes is provided in document TGP/7 "Development of Test Guidelines".

6.2 Types of Expression

An explanation of the types of expression of characteristics (qualitative, quantitative and pseudo-qualitative) is provided in the General Introduction.

6.3 Example Varieties

Where appropriate, example varieties are provided to clarify the states of expression of each characteristic.

6.4 Legend

G Grouping characteristic – see Chapter 5
 (*) UPOV Asterisked characteristic – Characteristics that are important for the international harmonization of variety descriptions

MG, MS, VG, VS – see Chapter 4.1.5
 QL Qualitative characteristic
 QN Quantitative characteristic
 PQ Pseudo-qualitative characteristic

(a)-(b) See Explanations on the Table of Characteristics in Chapter 8.1
 (+) See Explanations on the Table of Characteristics in Chapter 8.2

7. TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
1.	1.	VG/MS	Plant: height		
(+)	(*)		very short	Kuegrapipink	1
QN			short	Cambi	3
			medium	Pacperfu	5
			tall	Tingsat	7
			very tall	Darmsten	9
G			extremely tall	Tingmoz	11
2.	2.	VG/MS	Plant: width		
QN			narrow	FLOREG 01	3
			medium	Kuegramerl	5
			broad	Cambi	7
3.	3.	VG/MS	Leaf blade: length		
(+)	(*)	(a)	short	Randy	3
QN			medium	Kuegramerl	5
			long	OGLGER 3067	7
4.	4.	VG/MS	Leaf blade: width		
(+)	(*)	(a)	narrow	Randy	3
QN			medium	Cambi	5
			broad	Camstra	7
5.	5.	VG	Leaf blade: base		
(+)		(a)	very open		1
QN			slightly open		3
			closed		5
			slightly overlapping		7
			strongly overlapping		9

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
6.	6.	VG	Leaf blade: depth of sinus		
(+)	(*)	(a)	absent or very shallow		1
QN			shallow		3
			medium		5
			deep		7
			very deep		9
7.	7.	VG	Leaf blade: indentation of margin		
(+)		(a)	absent or very shallow		1
QN			shallow		2
			medium		3
			deep		4
8.	8.	VG	Leaf blade: variegation		
(+)	(*)	(a)	absent		1
QL			present		9
9.	9.	VG	Leaf blade: intensity of green colour		
(+)		(a)	light	Sarah Don	1
QL			medium	Randy	3
			dark		5
10.	10.	VG/MS	Flower: length		
(+)			very short	Randy	1
QN			short	Pacburg	3
			medium	Cambi	5
			long	Camstra	7
			very long	Regscho	9
			extremely long		11

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
11.	11.	VG/MS	Flower: width		
(+)	(*)		very narrow	Randy	1
QN			narrow	Pacburg	3
			medium	Cambi	5
			broad	Camstra	7
			very broad	Regscho	9
G			extremely broad	Amarena	11
12.	12.	VG/MS	Sepal: length		
(+)	(*)		very short	Kuegrapiso	1
QN			short	Randy	2
			medium	Camdared	3
			long	Kuegramerl	4
			very long	Camstra	5
13.	13.	VG/MS	Sepal: width		
(+)			very narrow	Randy	1
QN			narrow	Kuegrapidue	2
			medium	Cambi	3
			broad	Reglav	4
			very broad	FLOREG 01	5
14.	14.	VG	Pedicle: anthocyanin coloration		
(+)			absent or weak	Regscho	1
QN			medium		2
			strong	Randy, Virginia	3

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
15.	15.	VG	Upper petal: undulation of margin		
(+)	(*)		absent or very weak	Pasperfu	1
QN			weak	Cambi	2
			medium	Kuegramerl	3
			strong	OGLGER 6037	4
			very strong	OGLGER 3067	5
16.	16.	VG	Upper petal: main colour of margin		
(+)	(*)				
PQ		(b)	RHS Colour Chart (indicate reference number)		
17.	17.	VG	Upper petal: main colour between margin and middle		
(+)	(*)				
PQ		(b)	RHS Colour Chart (indicate reference number)		
18.	18.	VG	Upper petal: main colour of middle		
(+)	(*)				
PQ G		(b)	RHS Colour Chart (indicate reference number)		
19.	19.	VG	Upper petal: size of central marking		
(+)	(*)		absent or very small		1
QN			small		3
			medium		5
			large		7
			very large		9
20.	20.	VG	Upper petal: size of differently coloured zone at base		
(+)	(*)		absent or very small		1
QN			small		2
			medium		3
			large		4
			very large		5

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage, Method	Characteristics	Examples	Note
21. (+) PQ	21.	VG	Upper petal: colour of zone at base RHS Colour Chart (indicate reference number)		
22. (+) PQ	22. (*)	VG (b)	Lower petal: main colour of margin RHS Colour Chart (indicate reference number)		
23. (+) PQ	23. (*)	VG (b)	Lower petal: main colour between margin and middle RHS Colour Chart (indicate reference number)		
24. (+) PQ G	24. (*)	VG (b)	Lower petal: main colour of middle RHS Colour Chart (indicate reference number)		
25. (+) QN	25.	VG	Lower petal: size of central marking absent or very small small medium large very large		1 3 5 7 9
26. (+) QN	26. (*)	VG	Lower petal: size of differently coloured zone at base absent or very small small medium large very large		1 2 3 4 5
27. PQ	27.	VG	Lower petal: colour of zone at base RHS Colour Chart (indicate reference number)		

8. EXPLANATIONS ON THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS

8.1 Explanations covering several characteristics

8.1 Explanations covering several characteristics

Observations should be made at the time of full flowering.

Characteristics containing the following key in the third column of the Table of Characteristics should be examined as indicated below

- (a) Observations on the leaf should be made on the upper side of fully developed leaves from the middle part of the plant
- (b) The main colour is the colour with the largest surface area. In cases where the areas of the main and secondary colour are too similar to reliably decide which colour has the largest area, the darker colour is considered to be the main colour.


8.2 Explanations for individual characteristics

Ad 1: Plant: height


The plant height should be observed on the longest shoot from the ground to the top of the uppermost flowers.

Ad 3: Leaf blade: length

Ad 4: Leaf blade: width




Ad 5: Leaf blade: base



Ad 6: Leaf blade: depth of sinus

The depth of the sinus should be observed on the deepest sinus. The depth of the sinus is observed in relation to the size of the leaf blade.



Ad 7: Leaf blade: indentation of margin



2
shallow



3
medium

Indentation of margin



4
deep

Ad 8: Leaf blade: variegation



1
absent




9
present

Ad 9: Leaf blade: intensity of green colour

In the case of variegated leaves the colour of the largest surface area should be observed.

Ad 10: Flower: length


Ad 11: Flower: width



Ad 12: Sepal: length

Ad 13: Sepal: width

The largest sepal should be observed.




Sepal: length

Sepal: width


Ad 14: Pedicel: anthocyanin coloration

The anthocyanin coloration should be observed on the upper third of the pedicel.



Upper third of pedicel

Ad 15: Upper petal: undulation of margin




Upper petals
Lower petals


Ad 16: Upper petal: main colour of margin

Ad 17: Upper petal: main colour between margin and middle

Ad 18: Upper petal: main colour of middle




Main colour of margin
Main colour between margin and middle
Main colour of middle



For varieties which have a central marking on the upper petal the main colour of the middle is the main colour of the central marking when the size of the marking is bigger than very small to small (note 2 in characteristic 19).

Ad 19: Upper petal: size of central marking



1
absent or very small


3
small

5
medium

7
large

9
very large

Ad 20: Upper petal: size of differently coloured zone at base




The size of the zone is observed in relation to the size of the upper petal.

Ad 22: Lower petal: main colour of margin


Ad 23: Lower petal: main colour between margin and middle

Ad 24: Lower petal: main colour of middle



For varieties which have a central marking on the lower petal the main colour of the middle is the main colour of the central marking when the size of the marking is bigger than very small to small (note 2 in characteristic 25).


Ad 25: Lower petal: size of central marking




Ad 26: Lower petal: size of differently coloured zone at base



1
absent or very small



3
medium



4
large



5
very large

Zone at base

The size of the zone is observed in relation to the size of the lower petal.

9. LITERATURE

Maatsch, R. et al., 1977: Pelargonien. Verlag Paul Parey, Berlin, Hamburg, DE

Miller, D., 1996: Pelargoniums. B.T. Batsford Ltd, London, UK

10. TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE

Please see the annex.